Generative AI in computer science courses

- general intro to the topic
- how generative AI works
- some ways AI can help you
- some of the risks/problems associated with AI use
- general guidelines for safe/ethical AI use
- guidelines for some courses I teach (e.g. 159, 161, 265)

Generative AI

- obviously AI use is everywhere around us: creating/editing images and audio, writing/editing code/documents, searching for content, answering questions, etc
- it is an evolving area: its capabilities (and the associated benefits and risks) are changing rapidly
- everyone needs to be aware of how it can be used to their benefit, but also to be aware of the problems associated with it
- this is especially true for those of us in the field of Comp Sci: we play a heavy role in its development, in educating others on the risks and benefits, and in advising and development of appropriate safeguards

How generative Al works a(n over-)simplified view

- consumes vast quantities of sample input (training data)
- can be trained on general content (e.g. as much as it can scrape from the public internet) or in very specific areas (e.g. genome analysis)
- tries to store/analyze/represent the training data as a vast pool of patterns of tokens (words, symbols)
- also reads your queries as a pattern of tokens

Answering a query

- a huge collection of hardware is configured to process your query + the patterns collected from its training
- based on your query and its training, it tries to generate tokens, one by one, that it thinks fit an appropriate response pattern
 - hmmm ... a response to this query pattern would probably start with an X, then the next token would probably be a Y, then the next token would probably be a Z, ... and so on

Effectiveness of generative AI

- because of the tremendous processing power and huge training sets, the patterns it generates as an 'answer' are often pretty good
- don't make mistake of thinking it understands what it's saying, it's all just patterns/probability
- the likelihood of its response pattern being wrong increases as the complexity of your query increases, and increases if your query isn't well represented by the training data

The weakness in the responses

- it's often very very good at generating response patterns that *sound* right, rather than responses that *are* right
- in fact they can be either completely or (sometimes worse) very subtlely false, incorrect, misleading, or dangerous
- its responses are only as good as its training data: if it was poorly trained it will give poor results
- you can't take any statement made by a generative AI as fact or truthful: it's just a collection of words that it thinks approximate the pattern of what a valid response might look like

Risks and issues with gen Al

- wide range of ethical risks/issues
- risks/issues with the correctness of the results
- key limitations on the kinds of things genAl does well, some important things it is still very weak at
- as mentioned earlier, it is only as good as its training: an Al trained on a poor data set (or poor for your queries) is going to give low quality results!

Ethical issues with genAl

- built in discrimination/bias (racial, gender, religious, etc) stemming from bias in its training data
- privacy issues: you're giving away everything you tell it about yourself, your content, your family/friends, etc
- work loss: is the AI replacing work that a human would have been paid for? is this affecting someone's ability to make a living?
- intellectual property rights:
 - if you embed AI-generated content into your product then can the AI company claim some ownership rights to your product?
 - if you put content from your product into an AI, can you be certain that content won't appear as part of a response to someone else's query?

Correctness issues with genAl

- token limits: most AI (especially free versions) have limits on how many tokens it keeps track of in a query/response, so can forget/ignore things at the beginning of a complex query or long conversation
- hallucinations: it can generate fictional content and represent it as fact (because the fictional content *looks* like it has the right pattern)
- **subtle flaws:** the answer might be generally correct but contain one or more parts that aren't actually correct ... *using the response without recognizing these can be disastrous (and you have to fully analyze and understand its response to catch them)*
- **embedded risks:** if the relevant content in the training data contained security flaws/weaknesses then so may its responses

What genAl doesn't do well

- limitations in the number of tokens, processing power, and training data mean that it doesn't handle complex queries well:
 - much of what we do in CS revolves around the design of large complex systems: the AI can often only help us with bits and pieces of the whole
- training data (so far) hasn't found a good way to emulate the human experience and human responses:
 - it isn't very good at predicting or recognizing when something will look/feel good to a human user, making it weak at designing new software that people will enjoy using
- want to avoid being Al-redundant in our field?
 - Be good at those things: learn how to design/develop large complex systems, learn how to figure out what people actually want and will enjoy using

General suggestions using genAl

- check with your boss/instructor/teammates to see what their policies/opinions are for AI use in your current job/project/assignment:
 don't put content into an AI and don't use AI-generated content unless all concerned parties have explicitly given permission
- never trust any response it gives you: treat it as a starting point for fact checking/exploration
- never put anything into an AI unless you're ok with it becoming public
- never copy anything AI generated into your product unless you're ok sharing ownership of your product with the AI company
- think of it like a mischievous genie or unreliable co-worker: it may give you exactly what you need, but don't trust it

How generative AI can help

- generating practice questions/exercises
- learning to understand types of error messages
- learning correct syntax or use of language features
- getting alternative explanations for concepts/techniques
- getting suggestions for tools, features, techniquest that might be helpful
- learning about strengths/weaknesses of tools, features, techniques
- getting feedback on code/ideas (some risks to discuss)
- getting suggestions on how to review/test code/items

Have genAl explain concepts

- If you're struggling to understand the way a prof explains a feature/concept, or with the advantages/disadvantages of using a technique, ask an AI for an explanation
 - I'm struggling to understand how pointers work in C++ or how and why I'd want to use them, could you provide an introductory explanation?

Al-generated practice exercises

- tell it the topic area and ask it to generate things you can use for practice or self-evaluation:
 - practice quiz questions
 - practice programming exercises
 - practice essay/discussion questions

genAl and error messages

- compilers and run-time crashes often generate cryptic error messages when syntax errors are encountered
- use the AI to investigate what kinds of things cause a specific type of error message, e.g.
 - "I'm a first year CS student writing linked list code in C++ and I keep getting 'segmentation fault' error messages when I run my program. Could you describe the kinds of things that might be causing them?"

Using genAl to suggest tools/features

- sometimes we need ideas for starting points when we're trying to come up with a design or implementation for something new
 - "I'm a second year CS student trying to include a database in my term project written in C++ on linux, could you outline some of the available choices and their pros/cons?"

Learning syntax

- the first few times we use specific features in a language (or options/settings in a tool) it often helps to have more examples on correct use, e.g.
 - "I'm a first year Comp Sci student programming in C++. Could you give examples showing the correct syntax and appropriate use of pass-by-reference?"

Getting testing/review suggestions

- generally we want to ensure our code is of high quality before submitting it, which means carefully reviewing and testing it
 - you can ask an AI to suggest test cases to try out for the particular problem your code is solving
 - you can ask an AI to suggest things you should look for when you're reviewing your code to make sure it's "good"

Generating boilerplate code

- sometimes there are chunks of very repetitive, mechanical code we need to generate: segment after segment that is nearly the same and entirely predictable, involving little thought but lots of typing
- for these cases it might improve efficiency to have a genAlbased tool generate the starting code
- this carries the risks mentioned earlier about actually embedding said code in your product/submission

Summary of effective AI use

- use the AI tool as a learning aid, not a substitute for learning: *ideally it teaches you something so that you don't need to ask an AI that same question again in the future*
- make sure you carefully check everything an AI generates for you
- be extremely wary of entering any of your content into an AI and of embedding any AI-generated content into your product

Guidelines for genAl use in my lower-level courses (159, 161, 265)

- use AI as a learning aid, not as a substitue for learning
- quizzes/midterms/exams are paper/pencil with no AI to help you
- anything AI-generated (indeed, anything that wasn't designed and written by you) must be explicitly cited in the code comments and an accompanying readme (otherwise it is academic misconduct)
- if an exercise is meant to demonstrate that you can design/implement something yourself and you use AI-generated code instead then no credit will be given
- for any content you submit for a course I reserve the right to call you in to discuss your solution and evaluate if you actually understand it, and may adjust your mark accordingly

My use of genAl for courses

- *I will not* enter information about you into a genAI
- *I will not* put answers/content you have generated into an AI (e.g. for marking/evaluation)
- I recommend you check with instructors in other departments to see if they ever put your information or your content into genAls, and check if they are aware of the risks/issues if they say yes
- I may periodically use it in the 'learning' approaches mentioned earlier, as one starting point for investigating tools, techniques, features, syntax, ideas, etc